Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:  
  
Reviewer: 1  
  
Recommendation: Accept (minor edits)  
  
Comments:  
The authors made the changes I requested. It's much improved. Thank you.  
  
Additional Questions:  
Does the paper contribute to the body of knowledge?: This paper offers some evaluation of overheads with respect to applications that use the Windows Registry, and it also classifies some common patterns in the way the registry is accessed.  
  
The paper proposes a way of eliminating dynamically redundant accesses to the registry.  
  
Is the paper technically sound?: Appears to be.  
  
Is the subject matter presented in a comprehensive manner?: Yes.  
  
Are the references provided applicable and sufficient?: Yes.  
  
  
Reviewer: 2  
  
Recommendation: Accept (minor edits)  
  
Comments:  
As previously I believe the paper is now really good.  
  
Additional Questions:  
Does the paper contribute to the body of knowledge?: Yes  
  
Is the paper technically sound?: Yes  
  
Is the subject matter presented in a comprehensive manner?: Yes  
  
Are the references provided applicable and sufficient?: Yes